. Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
	10/062,857	ERLANDER ET	ERLANDER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Shar Hashemi	1637		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Examiner Hashemi</u> .	(3)			
(2) Kawai Lau, Ph.D. (agent).	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>04/10/03</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: Yes.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)[□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The content of the telephonic interview included the following: 1. instant invnetion drawn to random primers that anneal to 1st strand cDNA to produce 2rd strand cDNA. 2. Prior art directed to oligodT priming and the use of RNAse after 1st strand synthesis 3. the applications of endogenous haiprins and exogenous random primers. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an				
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's	signature, if required	a	